The reason I offer the book to the leadership community is that it might add something to a conversation that frequently breaks down, as paradigms clash. Leadership studies is fragmented and often contentious, a situation which in turn impedes our attempts to justify leadership studies as a credible area of interest. New ways of thinking might lead to new ways of talking.

Leadership studies finds itself in an immense forest, tangled, where one is easily lost and tempted to cut a clearing at right angles as a habitation. I invite colleagues to abide within the forest instead and learn its ways. I use the imagery of exploring a forest to explain what I am doing as the book progresses.

What do you want your readers to take away from your book?

The book offers a loose framework for organizing leadership studies. As more and more programs around the world solidify, my framework might help them order their efforts. At the same time, my hope is that everyone in leadership studies share the experience I have had realizing just how rich and confusing the topic can be. After learning to tolerate the uncertainty, which I consider inherent, they will be the ones to discern what we can know.

It is less likely that my book will seem useful to practitioners, who say that they need concise and direct advice right now. I disagree, as it happens, but they know their situation best.

After reading your book, what do you think readers will walk away questioning the most?

I suspect folks will wonder how leadership studies can coalesce in a coherent fashion, without creating dogma or insisting on uniformity. There should be a way to embrace a diversity of approaches without simply throwing up our hands and saying it’s all good. Because a lot of it isn’t. We will want to steer between rigidity and looseness,
without becoming completely paralyzed with indecision and walking away.

After conducting the research for your book and introducing various theories, what do you believe are the biggest challenges that leadership studies will face in the future?

To a large extent, university politics dictate what gets studied, taught, and cited, so that, for example psychologists feel herded toward their own kind, away from the interdisciplinary work that needs to be done on leadership. They get rewarded for looking like a psychologist. This is not a bad thing, since there is plenty for psychologists to contribute from within their discipline, so long as somebody tries to bring coherence to all of the work being done out there – as Bernard Bass tried to do for so many years.

By the same token, it can be a challenge to prevent that effort toward coherence from becoming exclusive and limiting.

We do not share common methods or a common vocabulary. We cite wildly different authors. The ILA listserv gets downright testy over trying to define terms. A certain amount of that diversity of thinking is a strength, but right now it just might be our greatest weakness. The rifts are becoming pernicious. I guess you could say that I’m trying to pitch a big tent without being indiscriminate.

There are many different perspectives of leadership studies that you introduce and you discuss the importance of collaboration. How do we go about accomplishing fruitful collaboration on this issue?

Wiser folks than I have made significant contributions toward answering this question. I could mention Meg Wheatley, for example, or Robert Kegan and Lisa Laskow Lahey. They make wonderful suggestions. My own thought is this: by developing the virtues of a scholar, we equip ourselves for the conversation. Among the virtues I treasure would be openness, humility, and patience. But for collaboration especially, I personally need courage. It’s not easy. That means taking risks, making oneself vulnerable, capable of being wounded. Ron Heifetz does a much better job saying this, by the way.

What tools do you anticipate we need to join you in the dense forest?

Critical thinking and humility. Come with that, and you will thrive. I can say this because I have to struggle with both of them. It is often the case, as psychologist James Hillman reminds us, that the very thing we struggle to understand becomes the lesson we are in the best position to teach. We know what the student is going through.

I heard a senior executive at the Boeing Company recently plead with college professors to teach critical thinking. The brain is meant to be nimble. As for humility, I don’t mean humiliation. I mean a deep respect both for the puzzling behaviors we are being asked to study and for the life of the mind. The historical record is long and complicated. The range of experiences can seem overwhelming. It is good to appreciate that you can’t reduce leadership to three easy steps. By the same token, we have to appreciate the incredible power of the human mind – especially when it works with other minds on important questions. This is important stuff. But we have already made incredible strides.

If you were to write a follow-up to this book, what topic do you wish to explore further?

A couple of readers have already said that I do not offer my own theory of leadership in this book, and in one sense that is true. I was not trying to offer an alternative to existing theories. Instead, it was my purpose to take a sack full of existing theories to see whether there is any way to organize them.

Frankly, people often become so enthralled with a single theory they forget that the real world defies them. It doesn’t fit any one theory or model. Nevertheless, they often persist and insist, because people form emotional bonds to cherished models. Then, as they say, to a man with a hammer, everything is a nail. They go about seeing it everywhere and defending it against all criticism. I hope I don’t do that.

I did wonder if perhaps there might be room for a book on the suffering of leaders – the suffering they experience, as well as the suffering followers expect them to experience. After all, at the root of leadership is anxiety. What do people think they are doing about that anxiety when they engage in leadership? I also wonder if there might be a textbook hidden in the pages of this one, straining to be free. In my manic moods, I like to
think that I’ve created little spaces for all sorts of new work, whether I am the one to do it or not. I think there is space for examining the ending of leadership, to name one example, as well as the idea of phantom leadership, in which followers follow a leader who doesn’t really exist.

To a great extent, the reaction to this book might tell me what I need to say next. I’ve never been one to plan. Many of the best things I’ve ever done professionally were accidental. Not sure what that signifies, but there you have it. I try to stay open to the next happy accident. So like the billboard says, watch this space.
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Nominate Leadership Books

The ILA is pleased to announce that Scheltema Publishing is sponsoring the book fair during the annual ILA conference, Emergent Models of Global Leadership, to take place November 2-6, 2005 in Amsterdam.

Both Scheltema and the ILA are soliciting your help in nominating books to be present at this years’ book fair. It is of significant importance that we attempt to include a diverse and wide list of publications that can be available for conference attendees.

Please visit the convenient online form to make book suggestions at http://www.ila-net.org/Conferences/bookfair.htm. Your help in this process is needed and greatly appreciated.